



In its motion, the Coalition does not present new evidence. Likewise, it does not suggest a change in controlling law that would warrant a reversal of the Court's previous decision. "A motion for reconsideration is not to be used as a means to reargue matters already argued and disposed of or as an attempt to relitigate a point of disagreement between the Court and the litigant." Ogden v. Keystone Residence, 226 F. Supp. 2d 588, 606 (M.D. Pa. 2002). No other interpretation of the reconsideration motion is sensible but that the Coalition seeks to resume its opposition to the Motions to Dismiss (Docket Nos. 18-19). The Coalition presents no justification for invocation of the extraordinary remedy of reconsideration, and the Court perceives none.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Motion Requesting Reconsideration and Rescission (Docket No. 26) is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

s//Gene EK Pratter  
GENE E.K. PRATTER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE